

said subscriber database is configured to check the right of the terminal to use said subscriber database; [and] submission of subscriber data is configured in the system to the terminal, to the -serving network, or to the terminal and the serving network in response to the terminal having the right to use said subscriber database . . .,” as recited in independent claim 13 and its dependent claims

Further, the cited prior art fails to teach or suggest the claimed network element configured to “provide a telecommunication connection for a terminal by means of a subscriber application comprised by the terminal; the network element is configured to provide the terminal with services according to subscriber data transmitted from another telecommunication network and relating to a separate subscriber database . . . and the network element is configured to direct data directed to the subscriber of said subscriber database to the terminal,” as recited in independent claim 24, or the claimed terminal “configured to establish a connection with a serving network by means of a subscriber application comprised by the terminal; the terminal is configured to establish a data transmission connection with a subscriber database . . . to transmit identification information to said subscriber database; and to receive subscriber data related to said subscriber database, as recited in independent claim 25 and its dependent claims.

Denenberg merely discloses a method for filtering service requests in accordance with mobile terminal capabilities and geographic constraints, i.e., for determining if a terminal may be given the requested service. Thus, a first database in a wireless services administrative center stores capabilities of mobile devices and a second database stores service capabilities of various regions. Information is stored and retrieved based on mobile terminal identifiers. However, use of the database information is performed manually by administrative center personnel.

Therefore, Denenberg fails to teach or suggest any connection between a terminal (operating in a serving network) and a subscriber database (having a functional connection to a bearer network). Rather, an operator in the administrative center manually (i.e., by inputting instructions via his/her computer) retrieves information from the database. (see Figure 2) “The representative then retrieves device capabilities from the ESN database, step 509”. (par. [0033], 5th sentence) Therefore, the mobile device requesting service registration does not communicate in any way with the databases in the administrative center.

Moreover, Denenberg fails to disclose, teach or suggest transmission of subscriber data from a subscriber database to a terminal, to a serving network, or to a terminal and the

serving network, in response to the terminal having the right to use the subscriber database. Rather, information associated with an identifier, i.e., and Electronic Serial Number (ESN)), from the subscriber is merely retrieved from the database in the administrative center and displayed for subsequent manual use by the personnel in the administrative center.

Oh fails to remedy the deficiencies of Denenberg because Oh merely teaches a wireless data transport method, and a mobile terminal and a corresponding InterWorking Function (IWF) device in which dialing is performed in the mobile terminal using a predetermined command with an identifier including an IP (Internet Protocol) address, and then RLP (Radio Link Protocol) between the mobile terminal and the IWF device is synchronized to establish a circuit-switched data (CSD) channel according to the command.


Thus, the combined teachings of Denenberg and Oh fail to disclose, teach or suggest the claimed method, telecommunication system, network element or terminal recited in connection with providing telecommunication services in a telecommunication system method, as recited in independent claims 1, 13, 24 and 25.

All rejections and objections have been addressed. It is respectfully submitted that the present application is now in condition for allowance, and a notice to that effect is earnestly solicited. Should there be any questions or concerns regarding this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the below-listed telephone number.

Please charge any fees associated with the submission of this paper to Deposit Account Number 033975. The Commissioner for Patents is also authorized to credit any over payments to the above-referenced Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP



CHRISTINE H. MCCARTHY

Reg. No. 41844

Tel. No. 703 905.2143

Fax No. 703 905.2500

Date: July 7, 2005  
P.O. Box 10500  
McLean, VA 22102  
(703) 905-2000